	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/680,049	GREENBLATT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fred I. Ehichioya	2162
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet wi (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate comm GHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>02/08/2005</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1, 4 - 10 and 42.		
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> are accepted by the	e Examiner.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application	on No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		e a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EX es reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF or declaration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Revie	w (PTO-948) attached
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 📗 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment o	r in the Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on t he header according to 37 Cl	he drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d).
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	SIT OF BIOLOGICAL MAT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BI	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the OLOGICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s)	5 	formal Datast Application (DTO 452)
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 	_ Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413), /Mail Date s Amendment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date		
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	. 8. ⊠ Examiners 9. ☐ Other	s Statement of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. 🔲 Other	SHAHID ALAM BRIMARY FXAMINER

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to Election/Restriction filed August 23, 2004. Applicants Elected original restricted group I and withdraw original restricted group II. Applicants also amended claims in group I.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. There are 2 ways restriction requirements within amended group I. These claims are restricted on genus species.
 - 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Group I: Claims 1, 4 10 and 42, drawn to a method for identifying related RDF triples in a directed graph classified in class 707, subclass 4.
 - Group II: Claims 11 20, drawn to manipulating data structure classified in class 707, subclass 101.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions listed as Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention has separate utility as follows:

Group I has separate utility such as a computerized method for identifying related RDF triples in a directed graph.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,049

Art Unit: 2162

Group II has separate utility such as identifying as related data that is a directed ancestor of data identified and a named relationship with the identified ancestor substantially matching a relationship named in the criteria. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicants elected group I consists of claims 1, 4 – 10, 42 and suggested to cancel remaining claims by examiner's amendment.

During telephone conversation with David J. Powsner, Attorney for the Applicants, Registration Number 31,868 on February 17, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1 – 10 and 42. Applicant in reply to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claims 8 - 20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Examiner's Amendment

Page 4

5. During telephone conversation with Joseph P. Mehrle, Attorney for the Applicant, Registration Number 45,535 on February 17, 2005 authorizations for this Examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview.

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the claims,

Please amend claim 1

- 1. A method for identifying related triples in a in a resource description framework (RDF) data set, comprising:
- A. executing the sub-steps of:
 - (i) identifying as related a triple substantially matching a criteria;
 - (ii) identifying as related a triple that is a direct ancestor of a triple identified in any of sub-steps in step A, and that is not in substantial conflict with the criteria;

where, for purposes hereof, a triple whose object is the subject of another triple is deemed a direct ancestor of that other triple, and, conversely, where a triple whose subject is the object of another triple is deemed a direct descendent of that other triple;

Application/Control Number: 10/680,049 Page 5

Art Unit: 2162

(iii) identifying as related a triples (hereinafter "identified descendent") that is a direct descendent of a triple (hereinafter "identified ancestor") identified as related in any of sub-steps in step A, and which identified descendent

- (a) is not associated with the identified ancestor via a predicate substantially matching a predicate named in the criteria, if any, and
- (b) is not in substantial conflict with the criteria;
- (c) is not associated with the identified ancestor via a predicate by which the identified ancestor is associated with a triple, if any, as a result of which the identified ancestor was identified during execution of sub-step (ii),
- B. generating an indication of RDF triples identified as related in step (A).

Please amend claim 7

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the resource description framework comprises of a data flow.

Please amend claim 42

- 42. A method for identifying related triples in a in a resource description framework (RDF) data set, comprising:
- A. executing the sub-steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/680,049 Page 6

Art Unit: 2162

(i) identifying as related a triple that is a direct ancestor of a triple identified in

any of sub-steps in step A, and that is not in substantial conflict with the

criteria;

where, for purposes hereof, a triple whose object is the subject of another

triple is deemed a direct ancestor of that other triple, and, conversely, where

a triple whose subject is the object of another triple is deemed a direct

descendent of that other triple;

(ii) identifying as related a triples (hereinafter "identified descendent") that is a

direct descendent of a triple (hereinafter "identified ancestor") identified as

related in any of sub-steps in step A, and which identified descendent

(a) is not associated with the identified ancestor via a predicate

substantially matching a predicate named in the criteria, if any, and

(b) is not in substantial conflict with the criteria;

(c) is not associated with the identified ancestor via a predicate by which

the identified ancestor is associated with a triple, if any, as a result of

which the identified ancestor was identified during execution of

sub-step (ii),

B. generating an indication of RDF triples identified as related in step (A).

Please cancel claims 2, 3, and 11 - 41.

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 7

6. Claims 1, 4 - 10 and 42 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record, either singularly or in combination, fail to anticipate or render obvious the recited feature "where, for purposes hereof, a triple whose object is the subject of another triple is deemed a direct ancestor of that other triple, and, conversely, where a triple whose subject is the object of another triple is deemed a direct descendent of that other triple;

- (ii) identifying as related a triples (hereinafter "identified descendent") that is a direct descendent of a triple (hereinafter "identified ancestor") identified as related in any of sub-steps in step A, and which identified descendent
 - (a) is not associated with the identified ancestor via a predicatesubstantially matching a predicate named in the criteria, if any, and
 - (b) is not in substantial conflict with the criteria;
- (c) is not associated with the identified ancestor via a predicate by which the identified ancestor is associated with a triple, if any, as a result of which the identified ancestor was identified during execution of sub-step (ii).

The closest prior art of record US Pub 2003/0074352, discloses Resource description framework (RDF), subject, predicates and objects represented in a directed graph as shown on page 4, [0065] – [0068], but does not disclose identifying as related a triples (hereinafter "identified descendent") that is a direct descendent of a triple (hereinafter "identified ancestor") identified as related in any of sub-steps in step A, and

Application/Control Number: 10/680,049

Art Unit: 2162

which identified descendent

(a) is not associated with the identified ancestor via a predicate substantially matching a predicate named in the criteria, if any, and

Page 8

- (b) is not in substantial conflict with the criteria;
- (c) is not associated with the identified ancestor via a predicate by which the identified ancestor is associated with a triple.

The closest prior art of record US Pub 2002/0118688, discloses RDF triplets composed of a subject, predicate, and objects as shown on page 4, [0039, but does not disclose (identifying as related a triples (hereinafter "identified descendent") that is a direct descendent of a triple (hereinafter "identified ancestor") identified as related in any of sub-steps in step A, and which identified descendent

- (a) is not associated with the identified ancestor via a predicatesubstantially matching a predicate named in the criteria, if any, and
- (b) is not in substantial conflict with the criteria;
- (c) is not associated with the identified ancestor via a predicate by which the identified ancestor is associated with a triple.

The dependent claims, being definite, further limiting, and fully enabled by the specification are also allowed.

7. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/680,049

Art Unit: 2162

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred I. Ehichioya whose telephone number is 571-272-4034. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred I. Ehichioya Patent Examiner Art Unit 2162

February 22, 2005.

SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 9